

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 1202 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.11.2017**

Pawan Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Aashia Jindal, Advocate, for
Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Seen Reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 1.

Also seen the earlier order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of
this Court in CRM-M No. 2483 of 2013, dated 29.04.2013 (Annexure P-2).

It is contended that the trial against the present petitioner, who
was a co-accused in the concerned F.I.R., still continues, even though the
concerned F.I.R. itself was quashed by virtue of the aforesaid order.

On going through the concerned order, it is seen that there is

no express qualification to the effect that quashing of the F.I.R. would be restricted only to the actual petitioners/parties involved in the compromise at that stage. This clearly means that the F.I.R. in question had been ordered to be quashed as a whole.

At any rate, Ld. Counsel appearing for complainant/respondent No. 2 also submits that her client has no objection in quashing of the present F.I.R. in the given circumstances, even qua the petitioner herein.

Consequently, the instant petition is allowed and the pending proceedings, if any, against the present petitioner are also directed to be quashed forthwith.

03.11.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No