

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.11930 of 2017

Date of Decision: 15.05.2017

RAHUL

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Ajay Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner is an accused for the offence under Sections 408/420/120-B IPC in FIR No.29 dated 15.03.2017 registered at Police Station Sector 20, Panchkula.

Allegations against the petitioner are that instead of sending 30 boxes of medicines to OM Logistic Transport, Phase-2, Chandigarh, petitioner in connivance with the co-accused Mukesh sent 50 boxes of medicines. With the change of driver on the said date, the consignee reported receipt of 50 boxes of medicines as against 30 boxes of medicines and the complicity of the petitioner came to fore.

The complainant alleged that record was being maintained by the petitioner and co-accused Mukesh and the practice of supplying of excess boxes of medicines was in offing for some time and in this manner the petitioner and co-accused have caused loss to the complainant to the tune of Rs.10 lacs approximately.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Singh submitted that the co-accused Mukesh is still at large and recovery of the amount or the medicines is still to be effected from the petitioner.

In view of above, I do not consider the present case to be a fit enough to exercise indulgence in favour of the petitioner.

Petition stands dismissed accordingly.

May 15, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No