

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.5277 of 1995
Date of Decision: February 02, 2017

Swami Saran Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Bikramjit Singh Patwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The Registry has placed the present case before this Court on account of the fact that service on respondent No.12 has not been effected.

Mr.Bikramjit Singh Patwalia, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the challenge in the present writ petition is with regard to the membership of respondent Nos.4 to 12 granted vide impugned order dated 26.10.1994 (Annexure P-7).

On going through Annexure P-7, it is found that the membership was for a period of three months. In my view, no cause of action survives as nothing has surfaced that during the interregnum, whether they continued as members. If they are continuing, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for reviving the writ petition.

Resultantly, in my view, no cause of action survives and the writ petition is the same is disposed of as infructuous.

February 02, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No