

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 11903 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 1.5.2017

Smt. Satyawati

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ramanjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate for the complainant.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the State has apprised the Court that the petitioner has not joined investigation in compliance with order dated 07.04.2017.

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that as son of the petitioner was not allowed interim bail by the Court in CRM-M No.11912 of 2017 and he was to accompany his mother being an old lady, the petitioner did not join investigation.

Counsel for the complainant has apprised the Court that in para 3 of the petition, there is reference to accompanying photographs of marriage but as a matter of fact no such photograph is attached with the petition and the photographs pertain to other function.

As per the petition, the petitioner is 62 years old. She is residing in Ghaziabad and was required to appear before the Investigating Officer at Gurgaon just at the distance of about 62 KMs. As the petitioner has not complied with directions of this Court without any justifiable reason, she has disintitiled herself to get benefit of pre-arrest bail. Accordingly, the petition fails and is dismissed.

MAY 1, 2017

‘D. Gulati’

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

yes/no

Whether reportable :

yes/no