

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM -M-14605 of 2013

Date of Decision: 30.3.2017

Sudha

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana
Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate

for Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2

Rekha Mittal, J.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The situation was no different on the last date of hearing. A relevant extract from order dated 16.2.2017 passed by this Court, reads as follows:-

“Arguing counsel for respondent No.2 is stated to be not available.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Proceedings before the trial Court in the case have been stayed qua the petitioner since 26.11.2013.

Counsel for the State has apprised the Court that trial against the other accused is almost at the fag end.

Last opportunity is provided to the petitioner to make submissions failing which the stay granted by this Court may be vacated.

Adjourned to 30.03.2017.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.”

In view of the above, petition is dismissed.

Necessary intimation be sent to the court concerned with regard to dismissal of the petition forthwith, alongwith copy of the order.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

30.3.2017

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No