

CRM-M-11820-2017**Date of decision : 10.07.2017**

Surender Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRYPresent: Mr. S.K. Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Nishchal Mann, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.526 dated 07.11.2016 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 IPC, Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. The trial Court has also sent copy of the statements of the parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

July 10, 2017

ps-l

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No