

Sunny

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sarabjeet Singh, submits that the petitioner has been seen in the CCTV footage armed with brick bats and therefore even though no person was injured in the attack, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above statement made, which has been reconfirmed upon query of the Court by the learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official assisting him, I see no reason to continue with the interim bail already granted to the petitioner.

Consequently this petition is dismissed and the order dated 07.04.2017 is hereby vacated.

However, it is made clear that nothing stated herein above will be taken as an observation of this Court on the actual merit of the case but only in view of what has been contended on behalf of the State.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 21, 2017.*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No