

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

**CRM-M No.11765 of 2017
Date of Decision:10.04.2017**

Manish Jindal

....petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Concededly, the petition for an anticipatory bail, filed by a co-accused of the petitioner, who was ascribed the same role, in the FIR has since been dismissed by this Court, vide order dated 23.01.2017, rendered in CRM-M No.2147 of 2017, titled “Amit Kumar Goyal versus the State of Punjab”. The grounds upon which the benefit of anticipatory bail is being prayed for, are similar to the said case.

Thus, no ground is made out to take a divergent view.

The petition stands dismissed accordingly.

April 10, 2017

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether reportable-

Yes/No