

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-12665 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.02.2017

Sukhjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Addl. A.G., Punjab

Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By way of petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.70, dated 28.07.2015, registered under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for the 'the IPC'), at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur.

It is contended that petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of statement of Sunil Kumar. Neither he is employee nor he is having any relation with the management of the company '4S Forever Private Limited'. No amount has been received by the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel as well as the learned counsel for the complainant submit that in connivance with the other co-

accused, the petitioner had allured the complainant and other persons to invest in the company on the false assurance that their investment shall double within short period. Since August 2014, neither the amount of interest has been paid nor the amount invested has been returned.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with their able assistance.

The petitioner allegedly along with other co-accused have committed fraud upon the complainant and many similarly placed persons by alluring them to invest their savings with the company running in the name and style of '4S Forever Private Limited' on the assurance of good return, which sums into crores of rupees, therefore, this Court feels that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed at this stage.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be taken to be an opinion on merits of the case.

16.02.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No