

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-117 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.01.2017

Ajay @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vishavjeet, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 288 dated 07.05.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 324, 452, 307 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station PGIMS Rohtak, District Rohtak.

It is contended that only simple injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR and he is in custody since 05.07.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 05.07.2016; the investigation stands concluded; challan has been presented, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.01.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No