

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1169-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.01.2017

HARMAN SINGH @ BAGGA

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.874 dated 28.09.2016, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') and Section 61(1) of Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Jhajjar, Distt. Jhajjar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 28.09.2016. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR, which is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the present petition. He informs that out of 10 prosecution

witnesses, one has been examined so far.

Heard.

Considering the facts that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR; the petitioner is in custody since 28.09.2016; and out of 10 prosecution witnesses, only one has been examined so far, trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No