

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.11681 of 2017
Date of Decision: 06.04.2017

Shamshher Singh

-Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 in the context of taking lawful action against respondents No.4 to 9 for committing a cognizable offence.

Petitioner has espoused a cause in relation to a fraud committed by the private respondents in terms of Form No.75.

Precisely for the grievance in question, the representation filed by the petitioner on 30.12.2016 has not been decided by respondent No.3.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Additional A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents

No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take lawful action on the complaint/representation of the petitioner if cognizable offence is found to have been committed. If no worth is found in the complaint/representation of the petitioner, then he may be communicated about the outcome in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

06.04.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No