

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-12622 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision : 04.10.2017

Satpal Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Harshit Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arun Dogra, Advocate
for the respondent no.2.

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 3 dated 12.03.2016, under Sections 406, 420, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, District Mohali, Punjab.

It is submitted that petitioner is the father-in-law of the complainant-respondent no.2. It is informed that during the pendency of this petition, the matter has been amicably resolved and the terms and conditions of the settlement have been drawn up before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 31.08.2017. The said settlement agreement is attached with the file of this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a sum of ₹ 35 lakhs pursuant to the said agreement has already been handed over to respondent no.2. Petitioner and his son

shall be bound to comply with all the terms and conditions of the said settlement.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sarabjit Singh, informs that the petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to interim order dated 11.04.2016.

Learned counsel for respondent no.2 affirms that the said amount of ₹ 35 lakhs has been received by respondent no.2.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 11.04.2016, is made absolute.

Liberty is afforded to respondent no.2 to move an appropriate application in this matter in case the terms and conditions of the settlement between the parties are not adhered to by the petitioner.

04.10.2017

s.khan

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable:Yes/No