

254

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11545 of 2017

Date of decision: July 18, 2017

Pankaj and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. G.C. Dhuriwala, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Ramesh Chand Sharma, respondent No.2 in person.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Tarun Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police (HQ), Kaithal filed by learned State counsel, today in Court, is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.266 dated 03.07.2016, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Civil Line, Kaithal, the petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as respondent No.2 who is present in person submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant

thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Kaithal stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence and is genuine.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.266 dated 03.07.2016, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Line, Kaithal alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 18, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No