

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-11529 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.04.2017**

Avinash Kaur

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.06, dated 16.12.2011, under Sections 406/409/420/466/467/468/471/120-B IPC and Section 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, registered at Police Station SVB Panchkula.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would vehemently contend that in the trial that ensued pursuant to the lodging of the FIR, co-accused have already earned acquittal.

Be that as it may, it stands conceded that the present petitioner having evaded trial proceedings was declared a proclaimed offender.

FIR relates back to the year 2011.

Conduct of the petitioner dis-entitles her from the concession of pre-arrest bail.

At this stage, counsel submits that he would not be pressing the instant petition and raises an alternate prayer and prays for indulgence of this Court that in case the petitioner surrenders and thereafter files an application

seeking regular bail, such application be directed to be decided forthwith.

As per prayer made by counsel, instant petition seeking concession of anticipatory bail is dismissed as not pressed.

It is, however, observed that in case the petitioner surrenders within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter files an application seeking regular bail, the competent Court would make an endeavour to expedite disposal of the same.

Disposed of.

03.04.2017
harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |