

CRM-M-11511-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11511-2017

Date of Decision: 18.04.2017

Adarsh Kumari and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Rathore, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dishant D. Tuteja, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners-Adarsh Kumari and Meenu Midha have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.919 dated 27.10.2016, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of respondent-State and the complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-11511-2017

From the record, I find that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioners entered into an agreement to sell with the complainant and took ₹15 lakhs as earnest money. As per the FIR, the petitioners have also cheated other persons and two other FIRs, have been registered against them.

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the complainant argued that qua the same house, the petitioners have executed five agreements to sell and received huge amount as earnest money.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; in view of the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioners are required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioners are entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

18.04.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No