Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.03.01 15:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 17169 of 1999 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.2.2017

R.K. Kalia ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gobind Korla, Advocate, for,
Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Keshav Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Jai Bhagwan Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has died and he is unable to contact his LRs. Therefore, the present writ petition may be disposed of with liberty to revive the present writ petition, if the LRs of the petitioner are traceable.

In these circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the LRs of the petitioner that if at any stage, the LRs of the petitioner are traced and they want to revive the present writ petition, they are at liberty to revive the same.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.2.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No