

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-12414 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 23rd MAY, 2017**

Agnrej Singh Pandher & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Following is the relevant portion of para 1 of the reply filed by
Mr. Surinder Mohan, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Gill-Rural),
Ludhiana:

1. xxx.... xxx.... The enquiry Report reveals that there are houses, temple, school etc. constructed in the disputed land. It is not possible to conduct demarcation of the disputed land. The respondents may approach the Civil Court for redressal of their grievances. No action is required to be taken on the application of the private respondents and it was recommended to file the same. xxx.... xxx....”

In view of the above, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.

Disposed of accordingly.

23rd MAY, 2017
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No