

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11448-2017

Date of Decision:- 24.05.2017

Ravinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Advocate, for U.T., Chandigarh.

**Mr. Suraj Preet Kang, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.121 dated 20.03.2015, under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 26, Chandigarh, on the basis of compromise dated 25.02.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Brief facts of the case are that marriage between the petitioner and respondent No.2 was solemnized about 13 years ago and out of the said wedlock, two children were born. Due to incompatibility of temperament, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the peace and harmony, the matter has now been amicably settled between the parties with the intervention of respectable persons, vide compromise dated 25.02.2017 (Annexure P-2).

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court, was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise dated 25.02.2017 (Annexure P-2), by way of order dated 03.04.2017, by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 03.04.2017 of this Court, the report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh dated 03.05.2017 has been received. As per the report, the statements of all the concerned parties have been recorded and the complainant has no objection, if the present FIR registered against the petitioner is quashed. Statements of the petitioner and complainant have been recorded to the same effect.

Consequently, in view of the above-said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and another**, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910; **Madan Mohan Abbot Vs. State of Punjab**, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429 and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.121 dated 20.03.2015, under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 26, Chandigarh and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, on the basis of compromise dated 25.02.2017 (Annexure P-2).

The present petition stands disposed of.

May 24, 2017
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No