

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12379 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.02.2017

Dheeraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Yogesh Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Ghumman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Dharambir Singh.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.690 dated 15.10.2015, registered under Sections 148, 302, 212, 201 read with Section 149 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurgaon.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been falsely implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. The petitioner is behind the bars since 30.11.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the petitioner has actively participated in the crime.

Heard.

The name of the petitioner has come in disclosure statement of co-accused Lalit @ Moni, who has specifically stated that co-accused were taken to the place of occurrence and were removed back on the scooty driven by the petitioner. Thus, keeping in view the specific and active role of the petitioner and the gravity of the offence, this Court feels that no case for granting the bail to the petitioner is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.02.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No