

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-11407 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 04, 2017

Manjeet Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.R.S.Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr.B.S.Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr.Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Pratham Sethi, Advocate
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.0047 dated 18.05.2016 under Sections 302, 307, 427, 148, 149, 212, 216, 120-B IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station PAU, District Ludhiana.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant appeared and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the FIR, Baba Ranjit Singh

Dhandrian Wala along with other persons was going to village Issewal in different vehicles. As per the allegations, in a pre-planned act, their vehicles were attacked and one Baba Bhupinder Singh was shot dead. The vehicles were damaged and even chased.

The present petitioner though not named in the FIR but he was named in the supplementary statement of the complainant and statements of other witnesses. The trial is at preliminary stage as charges are not framed yet. There is every possibility of tampering with the evidence. Otherwise also, in view of the nature and gravity of the offence, I do not find it a fit case where petitioner is entitled to benefit of regular bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

July 04, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No