

269

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11379 of 2017

Date of decision: July 25, 2017

Lovepreet Singh @ Lovely and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for

Ms. Deepika Nagpal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.107 dated 27.11.2011, under Sections 379, 448, 506, 511, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Banur, District Patiala, on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Rajpura stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is voluntarily.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.107 dated 27.11.2011, under Sections 379, 448, 506, 511, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Banur, District Patiala alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 25, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No