

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3059 of 1996 (O&M)

Date of Decision:18.08.2017

Haryana State Co-operative Supply and Marketing Federation Limited
(HAFED) and others ... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. C.B. Goel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

None for respondent No.3.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Perusal of the zimini orders, it is evident that there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.3 on the last two occasions. Matter is of the year 1996.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that during the pendency of the petition, workman was taken back to duty. Thereafter, his services were regularized. Question remains is relating to back-wages. It is further submitted that workman was working elsewhere. Therefore, he is not entitled for wages as claimed by him.

In view of the above statement, respondent no.3-workman is not entitled for wages.

Accordingly, petition stands allowed in part.

18.08.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No