

362

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2012 of 1997 (O/M)
Date of decision : 5.5.2017

S.K. Taneja

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Since the learned counsel for the petitioner has expired, therefore, notice was issued to the petitioner for today. The petitioner was duly served, but none is present for the petitioner to press the present writ petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

5.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No