

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11355-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 01.12.2017

Kuljinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl.A.G., Punjab  
assisted by ASI Jagdev Singh.

Mr. N.S. Goraya, Advocate,  
for Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.23 dated 31.01.2017, registered under Sections 498-A, 406 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. City Faridkot.

Heard.

On 03.04.2017, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel submits that there are chances of amicable settlement between the parties, if the matter be sent to Mediation Centre of this Court.*

*On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, complainant-Netanjit Kaur is impleaded as respondent No. 2 in the present petition.*

*Subject to deposit of Rs.20,000/- towards litigation expenses and giving address of the complainant, before the Registry of this Court, notice of motion be issued to the respondents, returnable for 11.07.2017.*

*Meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer on their furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction, subject to the conditions mentioned below, as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C :-*

- i. a condition that the person shall make themselves available for interrogation by Police Officer as and when required;*
- ii. a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii. a condition that the persons shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court*
- iv. such other condition as may be imposed under sub section (3) of Section 437, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

Learned counsel for the parties state that the matter stands compromised.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 03.04.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

**01.12.2017**  
**atulsethi**

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :      Yes      No

Whether Reportable :      Yes      No