

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-11309 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.04.2017

Rohtash and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. N.K. Jaglan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 700 dated 03.11.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 324, 341, 326 read with Section 149 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

It is contented that it is a case of version and cross version. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. They are in custody since 07.02.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioners are in custody

since 07.02.2017; the challan stands presented; charges have been framed; out of total 11 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.04.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No