

203-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.113 of 2017
Date of Decision: 07.03.2017**

VIKASPetitioner
Vs
STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.41 dated 17.04.2015, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 326, 506, 212, 216, 201, 302 IPC and under Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Dhand, District Kaithal.

Vide order of even date the petitions for grant of regular bail in respect of co-accused Mohan @ Monu and Amit @ Dhakhar have been ordered to be withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has not given any injury to the deceased. Only a

danda blow is alleged to have been inflicted on the person of the complainant.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Krishan Lal submitted that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner, however he was member of unlawful assembly. Learned State counsel further submitted that co-accused Randhir and Arvind @ Tinku have also been granted concession of regular bail by this Court.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant concession of regular bail to the petitioner on parity with that of co-accused Randhir and Arvind @ Tinku.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 07, 2017.

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No