

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

(1) Criminal Misc. No.M-11222 of 2017

.....

Date of decision:1.8.2017

Mandeep Kaur alias Manjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

....

(2) Criminal Misc. No.M-11914 of 2017

.....

Amandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

....

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab for the respondent-State.

Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for the complainant-respondent
No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This order will dispose of the above mentioned two petitions
filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in criminal
complaint No.17 dated 12.4.2014 (Annexure-P.1) titled “Lakhvir Singh Vs.
Baldev Singh and others” instituted at the instance of the complainant-

respondent No.2 for the offences punishable under Sections 302 and 34 IPC, wherein the petitioners have been summoned to face trial under Section 302 IPC.

Notice of motion has been issued in these cases.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Harsh Chopra, learned Advocate appeared for the complainant-respondent No.2 and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel appearing for the respondent-State and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that first of all in these cases the FIR was registered. The Police found the petitioners as innocent. Then complaint was filed and in the complaint case these petitioners have been summoned.

As per the allegations a push was given to the father of the complainant who fell etc. and died. The petitioners in view of the interim orders passed on 3.4.2017 and 7.4.2017 have already surrendered before the Court and they have been released on interim bail. The petitioners are not required for investigation or interrogation purposes. They are simply to face the trial in the present case. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody. The trial of the case will take long time.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in these petitions and the

same are allowed. The interim orders dated 3.4.2017 and 7.4.2017 passed by this Court granting interim bail to the petitioners are made absolute.

August 1, 2017.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No