

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-11213 of 2017
Date of decision:3.4.2017

Kashmir Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.D.S.Pheruman, Advocate,
for the petitioners
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that other than the two injuries that were declared to be grievous, one of which was on the little finger of Balwinder Singh, the others are simple in nature and therefore the petitioners, but for those who actually inflicted grievous injuries, would, in any case, be entitled to the benefit of bail, such offences being bailable.

Whereas that may be a fact, however, considering that the petitioners are all stated to have attacked the victims armed with swords and datars, granting anticipatory bail, in the opinion of this Court, actually amounts to giving impetus to such kind of incidents. Hence, whatever the merits of the case for or against the petitioners, it being stated that there was a cross-version also filed, they are not entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

Obviously, upon the petitioners surrendering and applying for

bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C., that would be decided at the earliest by the Court, on its own merits.

3.4.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No