

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 11211 of 2017
Date of Decision: 25.10.2017

Rajiv Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Heard both sides.

2. It is submitted on behalf of Ld. State Counsel that although the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of the earlier order dated 12.07.2017, yet the vehicle involved in the occurrence as also the fire arms allegedly used are yet to be recovered.

3. Be that as it may, it is a matter of record that co-accused Kamal Kumar @ Bori, whose role is almost similar to that of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence, was granted anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M No. 41287 of 2014 on 06.04.2015.

4. The F.I.R. itself is more than four years old by now and in the

given circumstances, it is doubtful whether any useful purpose would be served at this stage with the custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

5. Consequently, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier is confirmed.

6. Disposed off.

25.10.2017

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No