

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.11201 of 2017

Date of Decision: 03.04.2017

Kanti Devi

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Dhivya, Advocate, for
Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 in the context of alleged harassment made to her by the police officials-respondents No. 3 to 5. The petitioner alleged that the aforesaid police officials were involved in beating of a boy in front of her house. They were in civil dress. On being objected by the petitioner, they involved her in a false

case under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, in which the petitioner was ultimately acquitted by the Court of Sessions on 25.04.2013. Though the complaint filed by the petitioner before the Court was dismissed, but the private respondents are still harassing her.

Precisely, for the grievance in question, the petitioner has already approached respondent No. 2, but he has not taken any action on the said complaint.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ripudaman, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of State-respondent No. 1 and 2.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner. If

some cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then respondent No. 2 would be at liberty to act in accordance with law. If no substance is found in the allegations of the petitioner, then the petitioner can be informed in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1)**.

It would be appreciated, if the representation of the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

April 03, 2017
Apurva

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No