

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.Misc.No.M-1114 of 2017
Date of decision: 17.1.2017

Hukam Chand and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Arun Takhi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners seek a direction to respondent no.2, i.e. the SSP, Jalandhar, to further direct respondents no.3 and 4 not to harass the petitioners and to protect them from respondents no.5 and 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the petitioners' representation (Annexure P-1), dated 4.1.2017, is decided by respondent No.2, within a specified period.

However, it is seen that the representation (Annexure P-1) is addressed to the SSP, Jalandhar, whereas actually Jalandhar is a Commissionerate and the SSP has to be in this case the SSP, Jalandhar (Rural), which is also probably so considering that respondents no.3 and 4 are stationed at Phillaur.

Consequently, without making any comments on the merits thereof, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the SSP, Jalandhar (Rural), to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) dated 4.1.2017, stated to have been filed by the petitioners, after personally looking into the

matter.

In view of the fact that the representation is not seen to be addressed to the SSP, Jalandhar (Rural), the petitioners may also file a fresh representation to the SSP, Jalandhar (Rural), which would then be looked into and decided by her/him, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In the meanwhile, the SSP, Jalandhar (Rural), shall ensure that the petitioners are not unnecessarily harassed, especially keeping in view their age.

17.1.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No