

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11135-2017

Date of Decision : 23.05.2017

BALJIT SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.
Mr. B.P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated what was initially submitted on the date that an interim order was passed in his favour on 21.04.2017, to the effect to the petitioner is willing to return the entire loan of the bank even by disposing of his assets, learned counsel for the State, as also learned counsel appearing for the bank, which stands now duly served, i.e. respondent no.2, submit that the petitioner having relied upon forged documents to obtain a loan, it would be necessary to determine from him the persons involved in the entire transaction, other than just the bank officials, i.e. the Field Officer of the bank etc., especially as to the persons who had actually prepared the forged documents.

Consequently, despite learned counsels' persistence on the fact that the petitioner is still willing to sell his land, since it is necessary to determine as to from whom the papers etc. were got prepared, I see no reason to continue the interim order dated 21.04.2017.

Hence, this petition is dismissed and the interim order dated 21.04.2017 is vacated.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 23, 2017

ramandeep/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No