

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11091-2017

Date of decision : 01.05.2017

Heera Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.K.S.Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not named anywhere in the FIR and as such there is no substance at all to connect him with the crime in question, in which the allegation is that a truck containing a large amount of paddy was taken from the driver of the truck, with the paddy thereafter stolen, though the truck was subsequently recovered.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has admitted to a similar crime in a different FIR and admitted to having snatched other trucks with even one of those trucks recovered.

Keeping in view the fact that in this particular case there is no specific connection shown to this Court, of the petitioner with the crime, but without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, upon

his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No