

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-11077 of 2017
Date of decision:3.4.2017

Avtar Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.J.S.Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner only stood surety for the person impersonating Harwinder Singh and as such, he cannot be attributed any criminal intent.

Though the contention may be based on fact of lack of knowledge, but even presuming that the petitioner stood surety in Court for some body, who he obviously knew was not Harwinder Singh, or just at 'face value' took to be Harwinder Singh, he cannot be given the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

3.4.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No