IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-1107 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.03.2017

Jyoti Tondon

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet K. Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S.Bains, Advocate,
for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner who is a lady seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.277 dated 11.11.2016, under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar (Punjab).

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 17.01.2017:-

"Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and that she has no role to play in the alleged allegations.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 28.2.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply copy of the petition to the learned State counsel during the course of the day.

In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner is stayed

Vandana
2017.03.21 13:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

and she is directed to join investigation in this case within seven days from today and in that event, the petitioner be admitted to bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Station House Officer concerned. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to cooperate with the investigating agency qua the investigation of this case as and when required."

During the course of arguments, it has gone uncontroverted that the allegations with regard to innocent investors/buyers having been duped of their hard earned money on the pretext of purchasing plots being offered by Navrattan Real Estate Private Limited are primarily against the husband of the petitioner and other co-accused and the present petitioner had no direct/overt role to play.

That apart learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh apprises the Court that petitioner has since joined investigation.

As such without making any observations on merits, prayer made in the present petition is accepted.

Order dated 17.01.2017, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

20.03.2017 **(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**
vandana **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable No

Vandana
2017.03.21 13:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document