

218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1103 of 2017

Date of decision: February 20, 2017

Sunil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.242 dated 18.04.2015, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Bhiwani, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 06.02.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel are *ad idem* that the weapon as well as licence has been deposited with the concerned police station.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the amount of ₹50,000/- asked to be deposited unconditionally, be reduced in view of the financial position of the petitioner. Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner further states that the said amount shall not be claimed back by the petitioner.

This Court, therefore, reduces the said amount of ₹50,000/- to ₹25,000/- which will be deposited by the petitioner within 6 weeks from today.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 06.02.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to his depositing ₹25,000/- with the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani within 6 weeks from today, failing which this order shall come to an end and the police shall arrest the petitioner. The said amount shall not be claimed back by the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No