

CRM No. M-1196 of 2016(O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-1196 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision : 09.08.2017

Patras Masih and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 4.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No. 109, dated 17.08.2007, under Sections 326, 324, 452, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur along with conviction order dated 02.07.2013 passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurdaspur and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 06.12.2015 (Annexure P-3), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is outcome of a minor dispute between neighbours, which has now been resolved with the intervention of the respectables.

Learned counsel for the respondents No. 2 to 4 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

A coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 15.02.2016 had

directed the trial Court to record the statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report of the Additional District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, dated 11.03.2016, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded, which indicates that they have entered into compromise voluntarily and without any pressure or undue influence.

The afore-noted FIR is the outcome of a minor dispute between neighbours, which has now been resolved and the parties want to put quietus to the litigation. Therefore, in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Consequently, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 109, dated 17.08.2007, under Sections 326, 324, 452, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 09, 2017
kanchan

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>