

**612 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17632 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 17, 2017

Surjan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. D.D. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel states at bar that except the amount of recovery against the petitioner, remaining benefits have been released to him.

It is further stated that the said recovery has been challenged in another writ petition bearing CWP No.8245 of 2001. It is stated that the State will be bound by the judgment passed in the said petition and if this Court orders that the remaining part of the recovered amount be also released, the State will do the same, in accordance with law.

Therefore, the present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 17, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No