

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-10990 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 21, 2017

Kaushlya and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

In response to the order dated 30.03.2017, learned counsel for the State has filed in Court today a short reply by way of an affidavit of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, stating therein that respondents no.4 to 7, i.e. the mother and uncles of petitioner no.1, have got recorded their statements before the police that the first petitioner is 22/23 years old and they have no objection to her marriage with petitioner no.2.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, though with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

April 21, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No