

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1099-2017

Date of decision: 17.01.2017

Mahabir

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 439 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed for setting aside the impugned order dated 04.05.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Sessions Court, Rohtak and for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 in FIR No.352 dated 30.03.2016 registered under Sections 406 and 420 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel contends that after the grant of bail by the Court below to respondent No.2 , who is involved in a number of FIRs has been threatening the petitioner. The petitioner for redressal of his grievance made a representation (Annexure P-2) to the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak. However, no action so far has been taken on the representation.

At this stage, this Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion with regard to cancellation of bail. However, considering the antecedents of respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Rohtak is directed to look into

the matter/complaint and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order expeditiously preferably within within a period of three weeks from the receipt of certified copy of the order.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

January 17, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No