

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1098-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.01.2017

AMANDEEP SINGH @ AMNI

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Harjit Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.5 dated 07.01.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 324, 325, 326, 307 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, Distt. Kapurthala.

Contentends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner. Co-accused, Karan, has been admitted to bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since 28.03.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel states that charges have been framed and out of 21 prosecution witnesses, none has been

examined so far.

Heard.

Considering the facts that the petitioner is in custody since 28.03.2016; no recovery has been effected from the petitioner; charges have been framed; co-accused, Karan has been admitted to bail by this Court; and out of 21 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far, trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

27.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No