

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1096-2017

Date of decision: 17.01.2017

Satish

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Monisha Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.2681 dated 09.11.2016 registered under Sections 151 and 138 of Electricity Act, 2003 at Police Station City Irrigation and Power Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contends that the petitioner was not found to be in possession of any meter. He has not caused loss to the NIGAM and Sections 138 and 151 of the Electricity Act, 2003 have been wrongly made out.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the case file.

The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is involved in tampering the electric meters in the area of Sub Division, DHBVN, Palwal. As per secret plan, a decoy consumer and raiding party was constituted for apprehending the petitioner red handed. Accordingly, an

intact meter was handed over to the petitioner by decoy consumer and the petitioner agreed to tamper with it. He tampered with the meter, the decoy consumer informed the raiding party and raid was conducted. But due to intervention of the wife and daughter of the petitioner, the meter could not be collected.

In the circumstances, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Consequently, bail application stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

January 17, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No