

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10919 of 2017
Date of Decision: 30.03.2017

Amarjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Sandeep Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.A.S.Khinda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the impugned order dated 12.08.2016 passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kapurthala, as well as the order dated 22.02.2017 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala (Annexure P-1 and P-3) respectively. The respondent filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance and learned trial Court has granted Rs.8,000/- to the respondent as interim maintenance vide order dated 12.08.2016 (Annexure P-1). Thereafter, the petitioner preferred a revision petition before the learned Sessions Judge, Kapurthala, which was dismissed vide order dated 22.02.2017 (Annexure P-3). As such, petitioner has filed present revision petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that maintenance granted by learned trial Court is quite harsh and excessive and petitioner is unable to pay the amount by the trial Court.

A perusal of impugned orders shows that petitioner is residing

labourer over thereby approximately assessed as Rs.50,000/- to Rs.60,000/- per month.

In view of the above, Rs.8000/- per month granted by the trial Court is not on the higher side and the interim maintenance assessed by the learned trial Court does not suffer from any infirmity and illegality. Hence, the present revision petition is also dismissed as such.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

30.03.2017
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No