

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.10916 of 2017
Date of Decision: 30.03.2017

Palo Devi

-Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Pardeep Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an old lady and seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 to 4 for protection of her life and liberty from being invaded by respondents No.5 and 6.

Respondent No.5 is daughter-in-law of the petitioner and is involved in harassing the petitioner and giving beatings to her.

Petitioner further alleges that she has been dispossessed from her house and a complaint was moved before respondent No.2 with a copy to respondent No.4. No action has been taken by the police authorities.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pradeep Prakash

Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner in context of her representation dated 15.09.2016. If some cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then respondent No.4 can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no substance is found in the allegations of the petitioner, then the petitioner can be informed in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

30.03.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No