

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15764-2001 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 21.04.2017

TARANJIT SINGH AND ORS.

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents.

Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab with
Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisht, AAG, Punjab.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents state that Section 66 (3) (ℓ) of the Motor Vehicles Act has, in any event, now been amended w.e.f. 27.09.2001. They further state that the petition is, therefore, now infructuous.

The petition is, therefore, dismissed as infructuous. Needless to add that if anything survives, the petitioners are at liberty to apply to have the petition revived.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

21.04.2017

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes /No
Yes /No