

102 + 214
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-21933 and 21934 of 2017 in/and
CRM No. M-10907 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.7.2017

Paramjit Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
Ms. Jaideep Kaur, Advocate, for applicant-respondent No. 5.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-21933-2017

An application has been field by applicant Sukhdev Singh (complainant) to become a party in present petition.

The learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for petitioner has no objection in impleadment of applicant Sukhdev Singh (complainant) as respondent No. 5 in present petition. Accordingly, Sukhdev Singh (applicant) is allowed to be impleaded as respondent No. 5. Amended Memo of Parties filed and the same is taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

CRM-21934-2017

Compromise and affidavit of respondent No. 5 as Annexure-R-5/1 and Annexure-R-5/2 are taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

CRM-21933 and 21934 of 2017 in/and
CRM No. M-10907 of 2017 (O/M)

Main case

Since complainant-respondent No. 5 has filed the compromise and affidavit (Annexure-R-5/1 and Annexure-R-5/2), the proper remedy is to file the quashing petition. Accordingly, present petition for directing official respondents to conduct the proper investigation in case FIR No. 148, dated 29.10.2015, registered under Section 420, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Chatiwind, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure-P-1), qua the petitioner, becomes infructuous and is disposed of as such. Let the police also examine all the aspects of the case.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.7.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No