

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16606 of 1999

Date of decision : 20.02.2017

Kewal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Commissioner, Faridkot Division, Faridkot and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Himanshu Monga, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Registry has placed this writ petition before this Court on account of non-completion of the service of respondent No.4.

Prayer of the petitioner in the present case reads thus:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of certiorari, mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the present case by way of issuing a writ of certiorari quashing impugned orders Annexures P-2 and P-4 and further a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to work as watchman during the pendency of the present petition and further this Hon'ble Court may also grant any other relief which may be deemed fit in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

Present writ petition is of the year 1999 which stands admitted since 2000. We are in 2017, at this time, cause of the petitioner is rendered infructuous owing to the age and, there was no interim order, thus no cause of action survives in the present writ petition.

Present writ petition is rendered disposed of as infructuous.

20.02.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No