

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10876-2017

DATE OF DECISION: April 6, 2017

Makhan Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.A.S.Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner reiterates what he had submitted on the last date of hearing, that the petitioner was arraigned as an accused only on the basis of disclosure statements stated to have been made by co-accused Avtar Singh and Harwinder Singh, with actually not a single person having been named in the FIR.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Billu Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation but as he is a 'history sheeter', he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view the fact that no person at all was named in the FIR, simply because the petitioner is a 'history sheeter', the crime cannot be ipso facto attributed to him, at least at this stage.

Consequently, without making any final comment on the merits of the petition at all, the interim order dated 30.3.2017 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

April 6, 2017
KD

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No