

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -10869 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 18.05.2017

Iqbal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Ms. Rupa, Advocate for  
Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. S.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab  
assisted by HC Shamsheer Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.201 dated 24.12.2016, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 465, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar.

On 30.03.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Learned counsel contends that the dispute is inter se the parties with respect to the estate of one Makhan Singh. Petitioner Nos.1 and 2 are collaterals, who have been shown to be the beneficiaries whereas petitioner No.3, namely, Mangal Singh is only an attesting witness. The brother of petitioner No.1, namely, Dhian Singh had been*

*allowed interim bail vide order dated 08.03.2017 (Annexure P-5)*

*Notice of motion for 11.05.2017.*

*Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-*

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 30.03.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.03.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

18.05.2017

*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable:         | Yes | No |